





S-NA- New Haven ]

MUS. COMP. ZOOL.  
LIBRARY

DEC 9 1974

HARVARD  
UNIVERSITY

# POSTILLA

## PEABODY MUSEUM

## YALE UNIVERSITY

NUMBER 164

10 APRIL 1974

***EXOSPHAEROMA CRENULATUM* (RICHARDSON), A JUNIOR SYNONYM OF *DYNAME-NELLA PERFORATA* (MOORE) (CRUSTACEA: ISOPODA)**

PETER W. GLYNN





## POSTILLA

Published by the Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University

*Postilla* includes results of original research on systematic, evolutionary, morphological, and ecological biology, including paleontology. Syntheses and other theoretical papers based on research are also welcomed. *Postilla* is intended primarily for papers by the staff of the Peabody Museum or on research using material in this Museum.

Editor: Zelda Edelson

*Postilla* is published at frequent but irregular intervals. Manuscripts, orders for publications, and all correspondence concerning publications should be directed to:

**Publications Office  
Peabody Museum of Natural History  
New Haven, Conn., 06520, U.S.A.**

Lists of the publications of the Museum are available from the above office. These include *Postilla*, *Bulletin*, *Discovery*, and special publications. *Postilla* and the *Bulletin* are available in exchange for relevant publications of other scientific institutions anywhere in the world.

Inquiries regarding back numbers of the discontinued journal, *Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection*, should be directed to:

Kraus Reprint Co.  
Route 100  
Millwood, New York 10546

*EXOSPHAEROMA CRENULATUM* (RICHARDSON), A JUNIOR SYNONYM OF *DYNAMENELLA PERFORATA* (MOORE) (CRUSTACEA: ISOPODA)

PETER W. GLYNN

Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute  
P. O. Box 2072, Balboa, Canal Zone

(Received 25 October 1972)

ABSTRACT

*Dynamenella perforata* (Moore), a tropical western Atlantic sphaeromatid isopod commonly associated commensally with chitons, is shown on the basis of evidence from morphology and host preference studies to be a senior synonym of *Exosphaeroma crenulatum* (Richardson).

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to clarify the identity of *Exosphaeroma crenulatum* (Richardson, 1902), long regarded as a valid hemibranchiate sphaeromatid species from the Bermuda Islands (Richardson, 1905; Menzies and Frankenberg, 1966; Schultz, 1969). I will present evidence that *E. crenulatum* is a junior synonym of the wide-ranging and well known tropical western Atlantic species *Dynamenella perforata* (Moore, 1901). This evidence is derived from (a) comparison of the type-material with several collections of *D. perforata* from the type locality and elsewhere, and (b) study of sphaeromatid isopod commensals on chiton hosts from numerous localities in the western Atlantic region. The species in question is first shown to belong to Hansen's (1905) Eubranchiatae within the subfamily Sphaerominae.

## ASSIGNMENT TO EUBRANCHIATAE

The type-specimens of *E. crenulatum* clearly show both rami of Plp<sup>4</sup> (Pleopod<sup>4</sup>) with strongly developed transverse folds, thus demonstrating their affinity with the sphaeromatid Eubranchiatae of Hansen (1905). Moreover, the condition of other characters in the single syntypic male (pleotelson, penes, stylet) shows that it is immature. An adult male in this group has a pleotelson with at least an emarginate terminal border. It is also possible to establish an unequivocal generic assignment of the *E. crenulatum* types to *Dynamenella* Hansen, 1905, within the Eubranchiatae. The incorrect assignment of *E. crenulatum* to the Hemibranchiatae and the resulting generic confusion was probably due in part to inadequate study of the pleopods (these appendages were not mentioned in the description) and examination by Richardson of only female and immature male specimens.

## SYNONYMS

*Dynamene perforata* Moore, 1901, p. 173, pl. 10, figs. 9–19. *Sphaeroma crenulatum* Richardson, 1902, p. 292, pl. 39, fig. 40. *Exosphaeroma crenulatum* (Richardson). Richardson, 1905, p. 298, figs. 317 and 318. *Dynamene moorei* Richardson, 1905, p. 303, figs. 325–326.

## MATERIAL EXAMINED

*Exosphaeroma crenulatum* (Richardson): type lot, no holotype designated, 10 syntypes (1 male, 8 females, 1 undetermined sex), Bermuda, G. B. Goode, 1876–1877, Yale Peabody Museum No. 3250. *Dynamenella perforata* (Moore): 6 mature males, Bermuda, G. B. Goode, 1876–1877, Yale Peabody Museum No. 3204; 63 assorted male, female and juvenile specimens, Sue Wood Bay, Bermuda, D. M. Devaney, 19 September 1969, from crevices in splash pools on eolianite rock and from *Chiton tuberculatus* Linné, author's collection (Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute); 35 assorted female and juvenile specimens, Laurel Reef, La Parguera, Puerto Rico, P. W. Glynn, 19 January 1966, from *Acanthopleura granulata* Gmelin on coral boulders at seaward edge of reef flat, author's collection (Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute); 74 assorted mature male, female and juvenile specimens, Holandés Reef (west end), San Blas, Panamá, P. W. Glynn, 24 November 1967, from *A. granulata* on *Acropora* coral rubble, author's collection (Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute); 100+ assorted mature male, female and juvenile specimens, West Bull, Discovery Bay, Jamaica, P. W. Glynn, 4 September 1969, from *A. granulata* on Pleistocene reef rock, specimens examined in field and subsequently released.

## MORPHOLOGICAL COMPARISON

The following account is based on a comparison of the type-lot of *E. crenulatum* (containing only female, and one immature male, specimens) with a series of females and immature males of *D. perforata* from Bermuda, Puerto Rico and Panamá. While the type-collection of *E. crenulatum* is in poor condition—the bodies of most animals are either distorted or partly broken—the material does permit critical study of the morphological features included in Richardson's (1902) description of the species. Examination of the type-collection was largely confined to these characters in order not to damage the material further.

Body surface smooth, but pleotelson minutely tuberculate in all specimens. Faint pigmentation still visible on pereonites and pleotelson of some specimens, corresponding in position to that present in *D. perforata*.

Cephalon, location of eyes, and Ant<sup>1</sup> (Antenna<sup>1</sup>) and Ant<sup>2</sup> as in *D. perforata*.

Pereonites of *E. crenulatum* are not subequal in size (contra Richardson, 1902). Pereonite 1 is longer than pereonites 2–7 which are subequal. This size difference is, however, evident in Richardson's illustration of *E. crenulatum*. Three specimens in the type-lot of *E. crenulatum* showed Pereonite 1 to be 1.3× length of Pereonite 2, as in *D. perforata*. Other size

dimensions of pereonites in *D. perforata* (see p. 60 in Menzies and Glynn, 1968) are in essential agreement with *E. crenulatum*.

Contrary to Richardson (1902), Pleonite 1 is not longer than any of the pereonites. In all specimens Pereonite 1 and Pleonite 1 are subequal in length; pleonal suture lines are also identical. Pleotelson shows a minute cleft or indentation (best observed ventrally) at midline of terminal border in some females from all collections. This feature is illustrated in Richardson (1905), fig. 325 for *Dynamene moorei* Richardson and in Menzies and Glynn (1968), fig. 27L for *Dynamenella perforata*.

Uropods and pereopods show no significant differences.

Further comparison, supplementing Richardson's description of *E. crenulatum*, follows. Penes in immature male of *E. crenulatum* short with blunt tips and erect, as in comparable growth stage of *D. perforata* (see fig. 2 in Glynn, 1968). Stylet in *E. crenulatum* broad and blunt apically, exceeding slightly length of endopod. Plp<sup>3</sup> exopod jointed. Plp<sup>4</sup> and Plp<sup>5</sup> show comparable development in all material examined with prominent branchiae on both rami.

#### COMMENSAL ASSOCIATION

Recent knowledge of the various associations of commensal sphaeromatids with chiton species also supports the proposed synonymy. This problem first came about in an attempt to trace the identity of *E. crenulatum*, reported to live in association with an unidentified chiton on the north coast of Jamaica (Richardson, 1912) and with *Chiton tuberculatus* in Bermuda (Arey and Crozier, 1919). Study of the kinds of chiton-sphaeromatiid associations present in the tropical western Atlantic region has disclosed five different such partnerships involving three chiton species and three isopods, two in the genus *Dynamenella* and one species of *Exosphaeroma* (Menzies and Glynn, 1968; Glynn, 1968). One of the most common partnerships is that between *Acanthopleura granulata* and *Dynamenella perforata*. *Dynamenella perforata* is the only sphaeromatiid known to live with *Acanthopleura* and it is sometimes found on *Chiton tuberculatus*. Examination of *Acanthopleura* with its isopod commensals at Discovery Bay and *D. perforata* collected from an unidentified chiton at Montego Bay (see table 6 in Glynn, 1968) confirmed the presence of this particular association in Jamaica. A recent collection of *D. perforata* from *Chiton tuberculatus* at Sue Wood Bay also establishes the occurrence of this particular partnership in Bermuda.

## DISCUSSION

It is highly probable that the *Acanthopleura-Dynamene* spp. association described in the Bahamas (Brattegard, 1968) involves the single sphaeromatid species *Dynamenella perforata*, since *Dynamene moorei* Richardson was synonymized with *D. perforata* by Glynn (1968). The Bermuda material studied by Richardson (1902), including *E. crenulatum* and *D. perforata*, was collected by G. B. Goode in 1876-1877 and quite possibly represented a single collection. Because Richardson (1905) also named *D. moorei* from Moore's (1901) collection, which formed the basis for his description of *D. perforata*, it appears that Richardson did not always pay careful attention to the sexual dimorphic condition so usual in this group of isopods.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Willard D. Hartman, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, for loaning material critical to this study and Dennis M. Devaney, Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Hawaii, for the collection of specimens from Bermuda. I also appreciate the constructive suggestions offered by Thomas E. Bowman, National Museum of Natural History.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Arey, L. B. and W. J. Crozier. 1919. The sensory responses of *Chiton*. *J. Exp. Zool.*, 29(2):157-260.
- Brattegard, T. 1968. Marine biological investigations in the Bahamas. 2. On an association between *Acanthopleura granulata* (Polyplacophora) and *Dynamene* spp. (Isopoda). *Sarsia*, 32:11-20, 2 figs., 7 tabs.
- Glynn, P. W. 1968. Ecological studies on the association of chitons in Puerto Rico, with special reference to sphaeromid isopods. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 18(3):572-626, 12 figs., 13 tabs.
- Hansen, H. J. 1905. On the propagation, structure, and classification of the family Sphaeromidae. *Quart. J. Microscop. Sci.*, new ser., 49(1):69-135, 1 pl.
- Menzies, R. J. and D. Frankenberg. 1966. Handbook on the common marine isopod Crustacea of Georgia. Univ. Georgia Press, Athens, p. vii + 93, 27 figs., 4 pls.
- Menzies, R. J. and P. W. Glynn. 1968. The common marine isopod Crustacea of Puerto Rico. A handbook for marine biologists. *Stud. Fauna Curaçao Carib. Is.*, 27(104):1-133, 43 figs.
- Moore, H. F. 1901. Report on Porto Rican Isopoda. *U. S. Fish Comm. Bull.* [1900] 2:161-176, 5 pls.
- Richardson, H. 1902. VII. The marine and terrestrial isopods of the Bermudas, with descriptions of new genera and species. *Trans. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci.*, 11:277-310, 4 pls.

- 1905. A monograph on the isopods of North America. Bull. U. S. Natl. Mus., No. 54:liv + 1–727, 740 figs.
- 1912. Marine and terrestrial isopods from Jamaica. Proc. U. S. Natl. Mus., 42 [1894]:187–194, 3 figs.
- Schultz, G. A. 1969. How to know the marine isopod crustaceans. Wm. C. Brown Co. Pub., Dubuque, Iowa, p. viii + 359, 572 figs.

## INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

### REVIEW

The Publications Committee of the Peabody Museum of Natural History reviews and approves manuscripts for publication. Papers will be published in approximately the order in which they are accepted; delays may result if manuscript or illustrations are not in proper form. To facilitate review, the original and one carbon or xerox copy of the typescript and figures should be submitted. The author should keep a copy.

### STYLE

Authors of biological papers should follow the *Style Manual for Biological Journals*, Second Edition (Amer. Inst. Biol. Sci.). Authors of paleontological manuscripts may choose to follow the *Suggestions to Authors of the Reports of the U. S. Geological Survey*, Fifth Edition (U. S. Govt. Printing Office).

### FORM

Maximum size is 80 printed pages including illustrations (= about 100 manuscript pages including illustrations). Manuscripts must be typewritten, with wide margins, on one side of good quality  $8\frac{1}{2} \times 11$ " paper. Double space everything. Do not underline anything except genera and species. The editors reserve the right to adjust style and form for conformity.

### TITLE

Should be precise and short. Title should include pertinent key words which will facilitate computerized listings. Names of new taxa are not to be given in the title.

### ABSTRACT

The paper must begin with an abstract. Authors must submit completed BioAbstract forms; these can be obtained from the *Postilla* editors in advance of submission of the manuscripts.

### NOMENCLATURE

Follow the International Codes of Zoological and Botanical Nomenclature.

### ILLUSTRATIONS

Must be planned for reduction to  $4\frac{1}{2} \times 7$ " (to allow for running head and two-line caption). If illustration must go sideways on page, reduction should be to  $4 \times 7\frac{1}{4}$ ". All illustrations should be called "Figures" and numbered in arabic, with letters for parts within one page. It is the author's responsibility to see that illustrations are properly lettered and mounted. Captions should be typed double-spaced on a separate page.

### FOOTNOTES

Should not be used, with rare exceptions. If unavoidable, type double-spaced on a separate page.

### TABLES

Should be numbered in arabic. Each must be typed on a separate page. Horizontal rules should be drawn lightly in pencil; vertical rules must not be used. Tables are expensive to set and correct; cost may be lowered and errors prevented if author submits tables typed with electric typewriter for photographic reproduction.

### REFERENCES

The style manuals mentioned above must be followed for form and for abbreviations of periodicals. Double space.

### AUTHOR'S COPIES

Each author receives 50 free copies of his *Postilla*. Additional copies may be ordered at cost by author when he returns galley proof. All copies have covers.

### PROOF

Author receives galley proof and manuscript for checking printer's errors, but extensive revision cannot be made on the galley proof. Corrected galley proof and manuscript must be returned to editors within seven days.

### COPYRIGHT

Any issue of *Postilla* will be copyrighted by Peabody Museum of Natural History only if its author specifically requests it.

*Acme*

Bookbinding Co., Inc.  
300 Summer Street  
Boston, Mass. 02210

Harvard MCZ Library



3 2044 066 305 285

